

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1,500 Advandation Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/961,227	09/20/2001	. Alan Upshall	STEM1170-1	4074
28213	7590 10/01/2003			
GRAY CARY WARE & FREIDENRICH LLP 4365 EXECUTIVE DRIVE SUITE 1100			EXAMINER	
			WHITEMAN, BRIAN A	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121-2133			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1635	
			DATE MAILED: 10/01/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/961,227	UPSHALL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian Whiteman	1635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet w					
Period for Reply	VIO CET TO EVOIDE 4 M	ONTHIS FROM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a in your within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. 3ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	· · ·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	oted or b)⊡ objected to by t	he Examiner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		isapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	- •					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1635

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-24 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-19, drawn to a composition comprising a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier and TGF-alpha or TGF-alpha-related polypeptide, classifiable in class 514, subclass 2.
- II. Claim 21-24, drawn to an *ex vivo* method of treating a cell proliferative disorder in a subject comprising administering genetically modified cells comprising a vector comprising a polynucleotide sequence encoding TNF-alpha operably linked to a promoter to the subject, classifiable in class 424, subclass 93.21.
- III. Claims 22-24, drawn to an *in vivo* method of treating a cell proliferative disorder in a subject comprising administering a vector comprising a polynucleotide sequence encoding TNF-alpha operably linked to a promoter to the subject, classifiable in class 435, subclass 455.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Invention I and inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are patentably distinct if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions. Each invention has a different mode of operation,

Art Unit: 1635

different function, and different effect. The specification does not disclose that the methods are capable of use together. The differences between Invention I and Inventions II and III are further underscored by their divergent classification and independent search status.

Claim 20 link(s) invention II and invention III. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claim 20. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

If applicants elect Invention I, applicants are required to elect a species from the following:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: TGF-alpha polypeptide or a TGF-alpha-related polypeptide set forth on page 5, last paragraph and continued on page 6 of the specification.

Art Unit: 1635

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-16 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: an alkylating agent listed on page 18, last paragraph, page 21, last paragraph, and page 22, second paragraph; an anti-metabolite listed on page 19, first paragraph and pages 22 and 23; a hormone listed on page 19, third paragraph and page 23, first paragraph;

Art Unit: 1635

a DNA strand breaking agent set forth in page 21, last paragraph and page 22, second paragraph; an intercalating topoisomerase II inhibitor set forth on page 19, last paragraph and page 22, second paragraph; a non-intercalating topoisomerase II inhibitor set forth page 19, last paragraph; a DNA minor groove binder set forth page 22, second paragraph; a microtubules tubulin interactive agent set forth page 23, first paragraph; asparaginase (page 23, first paragraph); hydroxyurea (page 23, first paragraph).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 2, and 6 are generic.

NOTE: Applicants should list the claims that correspond to the elected species and the subgenus in claims 3 and 11.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each Group is not required for any other Group listed above, a restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

It would be unduly burdensome for the examiner to search and consider patentability of all of the presently pending claims, a restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Art Unit: 1635

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 § 1.17(h).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Whiteman whose telephone number is (703) 305-0775. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 to 5:00 (Eastern Standard Time), with alternating Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John L. LeGuyader, SPE - Art Unit 1635, can be reached at (703) 308-0447.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Brian Whiteman 1635

SCOTT D. PRIEBE, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

Scott D. Inile